

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/523,603	02/04/2005	Hiroshi Kase	00005.001205.1	4143	
5514 FITZPATRIC	7590 12/01/200 K CELLA HARPER &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			JAVANMARD, SAHAR		
NEW YORK,	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/01/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/523,603	KASE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SAHAR JAVANMARD	1617		

	SAHAR JAVANMARD	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of otermining the period of exh under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>10/29/08</u>. A brief in con of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex- Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 		E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (c) the appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all- non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5, 8-12.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

/SREENI PADMANABHAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617

/S. J./

Examiner, Art Unit 1617

Suzuki teaches Applicant's compound (IE)-8-(3.4-dimethoxystryl)-1.3-diethyl-7-methykanthine) for the treatment of Parkinson's Disease (PD). Trenkwalder teaches that 60-90% of PD patients complain about a variety of disease-related or secondary mechanisms of which include restless leg syndrome and/or nocturnal myoclonus. Applicant is arguing inherency. However, Examiner contends that it would be obvious to administer Applicant's compound to also treat indivuals with restless syndrome or nocturnal myoclonus because there is a overlapping population of patients that have both PD and restless leg syndrome and/or nocturnal myoclonus. Thus, it would be obvious that by administering PD with Applicant's compound, that one would also be treating restless leg syndrome and/or nocturnal myoclonus in said overlapping population of patients.